

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,362	09/16/2003	Roger Massengale	IFLOW.149A	1650	
20995	7590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER		
	ARTENS OLSON & BE	KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER			
2040 MAIN S FOURTEENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA 92614			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/663,362		MASSENGALE ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	·	Christopher D	. Koharski	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply received by the Office later than three months after the period for reply will be seply reply the period for reply will be seply the period	G DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, h in. eriod will apply and will exp statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timorized SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non- owance except for	- final. formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consid	·						
Applicati	on Papers								
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04,3/24/05.	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/663,362

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 17-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group (Group I elected), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/22/2006.

Currently claims 1-16 are pending for examination in this application with claims 1 and 7 being independent.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) that were submitted on 4/12/2004 and 3/24/2005 is are compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statements.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reed, Jr. (5,827,530). Reed, Jr. discloses a fillable patch for dermal or transdermal delivery.

Regarding claims 1, 3-5, 7-9 and 12-14, Reed, Jr. discloses a fluid medication delivery device comprising a fluid impermeable layer (26), a fluid semi-permeable layer

Art Unit: 3763

(16, 28) wherein the two layers define a fluid reservoir space in between, a fluid inlet (32,34) comprising a valve that allows for fluid entry wherein the device is capable of perfusing liquid through the permeable layers when pressure in imparted on the fluid (col 3 ln 34-67, col 4 ln 1-44) (Figure 2). Additionally, the valve is configured to allow fluid to enter the reservoir but prevent fluid from exiting the fluid reservoir wherein the fluid reservoir comprises walls that are all heat sealed together (18, 20, 23) and also comprises a segmenting element (40) that defines a separate space in the reservoir (Figure 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 6 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed, Jr. in view of Meconi et al. (5,770,220). Reed, Jr. meets the claim limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/663,362

Art Unit: 3763

as described above except for the materials disclosed and a semi permeable layer that is external to the fluid pouch.

However, Meconi et al. teaches an active substance-containing patch.

Regarding claims 6 and 15-16, Meconi et al. teaches a fluid delivery device that discloses a layer material to is selected from polyethylene, polysulfone, polyethersulfone, polyvinyllidene diflouride, polycarbonate, nylon, HD polyethylene, and PTFE (col 2 ln 27-68, col 3 ln 1-46) wherein the semi permeable layer is located outside the fluid pouch (Figures 1-2).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use the semi permeable layer of Meconi et al. with the system of Reed, Jr. because the specific materials and structure allows for controlled fluid delivery by varying the material properties for diffusion. The references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Meconi et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed, Jr.

Reed, Jr. discloses a fillable patch for dermal or transdermal delivery.

Regarding claims 2 and 10-11, Reed, Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for specific material dimensions (0.25 microns-0.254 mm) and pore sizes (0.25 micron) of the semi permeable layer and adjacent walls. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the semi

Application/Control Number: 10/663,362

Art Unit: 3763

permeable layer and walls with the pore sizes and dimensions as claimed by Applicant, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: /0/13/06

Christopher D. Koharski

Page 5